

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/658,364	09/10/2003	Akira Yamashita	AKY-0009	3315
23353	23353 7590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
	SHMAN & GRAUER	NGO, LIEN M		
LION BUIL 1233 20TH S	DING STREET N.W., SUITE 50	01	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			3727	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<mark>አ</mark> ን
1	Ø

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/658,364	YAMASHITA, AKIRA				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		LIEN TM NGO	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 May 2005</u> .						
,—	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
Cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· —	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
•							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) 🔲 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of a certified copy of the JP-2003-155052 application referred to in the oath or declaration or in an application data sheet. If this copy is being filed to obtain the benefits of the foreign filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), applicant should also file a claim for such priority as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b). If the application being examined is an original application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) (other than a design application) on or after November 29, 2000, the claim for priority must be presented during the pendency of the application, and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior foreign application. See 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1)(i). If the application being examined has entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the claim for priority must be made during the pendency of the application and within the time limit set forth in the PCT and Regulations of the PCT. See 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1)(ii). Any claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f) or 365(a) or (b) not presented within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1) is considered to have been waived. If a claim for foreign priority is presented after the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1), the claim may be accepted if the claim properly identifies the prior foreign application and is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority. See 37 CFR 1.55(c).

Application/Control Number: 10/658,364 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 5, "the knurl parts" should be – the Knurl part --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Japan reference (WO 2004/63044). The JP reference discloses, in fig. 1, a metal cap comprising a knurl part having plurality of knurls with short width slits and long width slits.
- 3. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walker (4,007,551). Walker discloses, in fig. 5, a metal cap comprising a knurl part having plurality of knurls having slits 48 extending over more than two knurls to form a long width slit.
- 4. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP-reference (5-65151), or JP reference (2002-211603). Each of the two references

Application/Control Number: 10/658,364 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

discloses a metal cap comprising a packing, a slit disposed on a knurl and extending in a peripheral direction.

- 5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP-reference (1-99967). The JP reference discloses a metal cap comprising a packing 2, and a slit 35 disposed on a knurl.
- 6. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by JP-reference (2003-72798), or JP reference (2004-217295) or JP reference (2004-175388). Each of the two references discloses a metal cap comprising a packing, a slit disposed on a knurl and extending in a peripheral direction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 2004/63044 in view of Walker.

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the JP reference with the slit extended outside over the Knurl, as taught by Walker, in order to form a long slit.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 5/9/05 with respect to claims 1-4 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as reasons as following:

The claimed invention of the present application can not have a priority benefit date from the JP references 173928/2002 filed 6/14/02 and 355004/2002 filed 12/6/02 because both of the references are not claimed for the priority, no copy for the reference 173928/2002, and the claim for priority is not presented during the pendency of the application, see the Priority in the paragraph 1 above.

Therefore, the affected date of the present application is the filing date 9/10/03, and the rejection of claims 1 under 102(a) by JP reference WO 2004/63044 filed 1/14/03 is still proper.

Walker discloses, in figs. 1-5, slits 24, 42 and 48 formed on a knurl part of a metal cap, the slit 48 in fig. 5 extending to a plurality of adjacent Knurls to form a long width slit. Ther4fore, Walker anticipates claim 2 and 4; and claim 3 is properly rejected by WO2004/63004 in view of Walker.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3727

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NATHAN NEWHOUSE can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LIEN TM NGO

Application/Control Number: 10/658,364

Art Unit: 3727

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

July 12, 2005

Page 7